An Absorption Feature in the Sky-Averaged Radio Spectrum

Raul Monsalve McGill UNIVERSITY

Credit: NASA / WMAP Team



S.G. Djorgovski et al. & Digital Media Center, Caltech

0

Global 21-cm Brightness Temperature

$$T_{\rm b}(z) \approx 28 \, {\rm mK} \quad \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1+z}{10}} \cdot \bar{x}_{\rm HI} \cdot \left(\frac{T_{\rm S} - T_{\rm R}}{T_{\rm S}}\right)$$
fraction spin
of neutral temperature
hydrogen

Standard Prediction



Nature and Timing of First Sources



EDGES Measurement



Bowman, Rogers, Monsalve, Mozdzen, Mahesh 2018, Nature, 555, 67

EDGES

Experiment to Detect the Global EoR Signature

Prof. Judd Bowman (PI) Dr. Alan Rogers Dr. Raul Monsalve Dr. Thomas Mozdzen Ms. Nivedita Mahesh





Western Australia

Radio-Quiet Site Murchison Radio-astronomy Observatory (MRO)





MWA



SKA-Low



EDGES Instruments



EDGES Instrument Block Diagram



EDGES Low-Band



Low-Band Ground Plane



Central Square: 20m x 20m 16 Triangles: 5m-long



Instrumental Calibration

- 1) Receiver gain and offset.
- 2) Impedance mismatch between receiver and the antenna.
- 3) Antenna and ground losses.
- 4) Frequency-dependence of the antenna beam.

Summary of the EDGES Detection



Bowman, Rogers, Monsalve, Mozdzen, Mahesh 2018, Nature, 555, 67

Model of the Spectrum

$$m(\nu) = m_{21}(\nu) + m_{fg}(\nu)$$

Phenomenological 21-cm Model "Flattened Gaussian"

$$m_{21}(\nu, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{21}) = -\boldsymbol{A} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\boldsymbol{\tau}} e^{\boldsymbol{B}}}{1 - e^{-\boldsymbol{\tau}}} \right)$$

$$B = \frac{4 \left(\nu - \nu_0\right)^2}{w^2} \quad \ln\left[-\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right)\ln\left(\frac{1 + e^{-\tau}}{2}\right)\right]$$

- **A** : absorption amplitude
- v_0 : center frequency
- **w**: width
- *t*: flattening parameter

"Foreground" Models

Linearized Version of Physically-Motivated Foreground Model

$$m_{\rm fg}(\nu, \boldsymbol{a_i}) = \nu^{-2.5} \left\{ \boldsymbol{a_0} + \boldsymbol{a_1}[\log\nu] + \boldsymbol{a_2}[\log\nu]^2 + \boldsymbol{a_3}\nu^{-2.0} + \boldsymbol{a_4}\nu^{0.5} \right\}$$

Alternative Polynomial Model

$$m_{\rm fg}(\nu, \boldsymbol{a_i}) = \nu^{-2.5} \sum_{i=0}^{N_{\rm fg}-1} \boldsymbol{a_i} \nu^i$$

Smooth sets of basis functions that model well, with few terms, the spectrum over wide frequency ranges.

Linear fit coefficients not intended to be assigned physical interpretation.

Sensitivity to Possible Calibration Errors

Error source	Estimated uncertainty	Modelled error level	Recovered amplitude (K)
LNA S11 magnitude	0.1 dB	1.0 dB	0.51
LNA S11 phase (delay)	20 ps	100 ps	0.48
Antenna S11 magnitude	0.02 dB	0.2 dB	0.50
Antenna S11 phase (delay)	20 ps	100 ps	0.48
No loss correction	N/A	N/A	0.51
No beam correction	N/A	N/A	0.48

Absorption Amplitude for Various GHA

Galactic Hour Angle (GHA)	SNR	Amplitude (K)	Sky Temperature (K)
6-hour bins			
0	8	0.48	3999
6	11	0.57	2035
12	23	0.50	1521
18	15	0.60	2340
4-hour bins			
0	5	0.45	4108
4	9	0.46	2775
8	13	0.44	1480
12	21	0.57	1497
16	11	0.59	1803 Total temperature
20	9	0.66	3052 varies by a factor o
			up to 3.

Bowman, Rogers, Monsalve, Mozdzen, Mahesh 2018, Nature, 555, 67

Parameter Estimates

Ŷ

Estimates from Nominal Spectrum



Including All Cases Processed

Parameter	Best Fit	Uncertainty (3 σ)
A	0.5 K	+0.5/-0.2 K
ν_0	78 MHz	+/-1 MHz
W	19 MHz	+4/-2 MHz
τ	7	+5/-3

How to Explain Deep Absorption?



Interactions of Baryons with Dark Matter?

A small amount of mini-charged dark matter could cool the baryons in the early Universe

Julian B. Muñoz¹* & Abraham Loeb²

LETTER

NATURE, 557, 31 MAY 2018

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0151-x

- 1) Enough IGM cooling achieved if small fraction (<1%) of DM particles posses electric mini-charge (~ 10^{-6} the charge of an electron).
- 2) Mass of these DM particles constrained to \sim 1-60 MeV.

Implications of Absorption Frequency (78 MHz)



Mirocha, Furlanetto, & Sun (2017)

Implications of Absorption Frequency (78 MHz)



Mirocha & Furlanetto (2018)

"A mass of $10^{10} M_{\odot}$ corresponds roughly to current sensitivity limits, which, coupled with the flat SFE we infer, means the optimal fit to the UVLF and EDGES data is one that maximizes the amount of star formation occurring in small objects beyond current detection limits." In EDGES we remain agnostic about the cosmological/astrophysical explanations, and focused on the verification of our measurement.

Recent Tests in the Field

Null Tests (feature not found)

- 1) Measuring noise sources that produce a flat spectrum.
- 2) Measuring noise sources that produce a spectrum resembling the diffuse foregrounds.

Tests Addressing Antenna Beam Effects (feature found)

- 1) Using Mid-Band antenna over 60-160 MHz.
- 2) Using Low-Band antenna over a smaller 5m x 5m ground plane.

These tests have been passed successfully. This supports a spectral feature from the sky.

Verification Using ~300K Passive Noise Source





EDGES Mid-Band

Low-Band



High-Band



Mid-Band



Antenna Reflection Coefficients



Preliminarily

Preliminary Mid-Band Results



Monsalve, Mahesh, Rogers, Bowman, Mozdzen, & Johnson (in preparation)

- 1) Data from May August 2018.
- 2) Low foregrounds.
- 3) Best-fit absorption parameters **consistent with Bowman et al. (2018)**.

Constraints on Standard Astrophysical Parameters

Standard Models from Semi-Numerical Simulations Monsalve, Fialkov, Bowman et al. (2018) (Submitted)



EDGES High-Band Spectrum



Monsalve, Rogers, Bowman, & Mozdzen (2017b)

- **Noise of 6 mK** at 140 MHz.
- **No detection reported** in this frequency range.
- Used to constrain models and parameters.

External Data







New Global 21-cm Experiment MIST: Mapper of the IGM Spin Temperature











Independent Verification Through the Spatial Fluctuations

HERA: Hydrogen Epoch of Reionization Array



Summary

- 1) The **EDGES experiment** has **reported an absorption feature** in the sky-averaged spectrum centered at 78 MHz.
- 2) This is **consistent with stars forming by 180 Myrs after the Big Bang** and could correspond to the **Signature from the Cosmic Dawn**.
- 3) Feature is **deeper and sharper** than expected.
- 4) In EDGES, we **remain agnostic** regarding the **interpretation**.
- 5) EDGES and other teams are working to verify the measurement.
- 6) Using other datasets, in EDGES we are **constraining parameters** of the **Cosmic Dawn** and **Reionization**.

We are Witnessing the Dawn of 21-cm Cosmology

Field Relative Calibration



This calibration is applied every 40 seconds. It removes time variability.

In each 3-position switching cycle we measure **power spectral density** from:

- 1) Antenna
- 2) Ambient Load
- 3) Ambient Load + Noise Source

Absolute Lab Calibration



Receiver parameters are obtained measuring calibration standards in the lab.

We measure with high precision and accuracy the spectrum, reflection, and temperature of the standards.



NO IGM Heating prior to Reionization

90

0

-100

frequency [MHz]

130

150

170 190

110

- 1) Perfect Lyman- α coupling at early times ($T_{\rm S} = T_{\rm IGM}$).
- 2) No X-ray heating. IGM cools adiabatically.
- 3) Only reionization.
- 4) Reionization modeled with TANH expression.



NO IGM Heating prior to Reionization

This is the only result from 21-cm measurements that excludes reionization scenarios with no prior IGM heating, which are consistent with the optical depth from Planck.

Constraints of High-z Astrophysical Parameters Using 21cmFAST Models



Monsalve, Greig, Bowman, Mesinger, Rogers, Mozdzen, Kern, Mahesh (2018)



